Exhibit 6B

July 16, 2014 K. Buckfire Deposition Transcript

	Page 1		Page 3
1	KENNETH BUCKFIRE, VOLUME 2	1	KENNETH BUCKFIRE, VOLUME 2
2	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	2	
3	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN	3 4	CLAUDE D. MONTCOMEDY, FCO
4 5		5	CLAUDE D. MONTGOMERY, ESQ.
6		6	Dentons US LLP
7	In Do. Chanter 0	7	1221 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10020
8	In Re:) Chapter 9	8	Appearing on behalf of the Retirement Committee.
9	CITY of DETROIT, MICHIGAN,) Case No. 13-53846	9	Appearing on benait of the Nethernerit committee.
10	CITT OF DETROIT, WHO HIGHNAN,) Case No. 13-33040	10	
11	Debtor.) Hon. Steven Rhodes	11	
12	Beston.) Hom. Steven Milodes	12	JENNIFER K. GREEN, ESQ.
13		13	Clark Hill, PLC
14	VOLUME 2	14	500 Woodward Avenue, Suite 3500
15		15	Detroit, Michigan 48226
16	The Videotaped Deposition of KENNETH BUCKFIRE,	16	Appearing on behalf of the Retirement Systems for the
17	a Rule 30(b)(6) witness,	17	City of Detroit.
18	Taken at 1114 Washington Boulevard,	18	·
19	Detroit, Michigan,	19	
20	Commencing at 8:09 a.m.,	20	
21	Wednesday, July 16, 2014,	21	
22	Before Leisa M. Pastor, CSR-3500, RPR, CRR.	22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	
	Page 2		Page 4
1	KENNETH BUCKFIRE, VOLUME 2	1	KENNETH BUCKFIRE, VOLUME 2
2	APPEARANCES:	2	ROBIN D. BALL, ESQ.
3		3	Chadbourne & Parke, LLP
4	THOMAS F. CULLEN, JR., ESQ.	4	350 South Grand Avenue, 32nd Floor
5	Jones Day	5	Los Angeles, California 90071
6	51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W.	6	Appearing on behalf of Assured Guaranty Municipa
7	Washington, D.C. 20001	7	Corporation.
8	Appearing on behalf of the Debtor.	8	
9		9	
10		10 11	CLIV S NEAL ESO
11	CODININE DALL ECO	12	GUY S. NEAL, ESQ.
12 13	CORINNE BALL, ESQ.,	13	Sidley Austin, LLP 1501 K Street, N.W.
14	BENJAMIN ROSENBLUM, ESQ.	14	Washington, D.C. 20005
15	Jones Day 222 East 41st Street	15	Appearing on behalf of National Public Financing.
16	New York, New York 10017	16	. ppoaring on sonair or reational rabile rinariolity.
17	Appearing on behalf of the Debtor.	17	
18	Appearing on bendit of the Debtor.	18	
19		19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	

Page 219 Page 217 **KENNETH BUCKFIRE, VOLUME 2** 1 KENNETH BUCKFIRE, VOLUME 2 2 logically should look at its revitalization programs 2 subset of art, so to speak, correct? 3 3 and decide which ones are so high priority it cannot A. Correct. 4 defer or delay that money and which ones can be Q. Who did you go to to determine what was the City owned 5 delayed for a year or six months or whatever it has to 5 art versus what was not the City owned art? 6 6 be, that's the kind of flexibility I'm talking about. A. Well, first of all, the published catalogs of the 7 Q. Okay, I got it so you're not talking about flexibility collection often indicate source of the art, who will 8 8 that means somehow after the plan you don't have to pay for it, so it's actually fairly easy even as a 9 9 live up to contracts you have to live up to contracts layperson to look at the catalogs because they always 10 10 before the plan and after the plan, correct? stipulate whether it's a gift or paid for by the City 11 A. Correct. 11 or paid for by donors. 12 Q. You're talking about well, if it sets forth a series 12 Q. So did Christie's make that determination 13 of revitalization efforts, some would be prioritized 13 independently on its own or did --14 14 earlier than others, that's the flexibility you're A. No they actually asked the DIA itself it had to 15 15 identify works that are paid for in whole or in part talking about? 16 A. That's correct. 16 by the City. 17 Q. Now, in connection with revitalization, has any 17 Q. And the DIA was the same DIA that had called the 18 18 analysis been done that does prioritize proposed govern nor and didn't want to have anything to do with 19 revitalization efforts? 19 this plan, correct? 20 20 A. They did cooperate in the end. A. You mean a downside scenario? 21 Q. No, I'm not even talking about a downside scenario, 21 Q. Do you know if they were the ones who identified what 22 I'm talking about specific priorities set forth in the 22 they thought was City owned and not City owned? 23 23 A. I already testified that, I believe that Christie's plan for certain revitalization efforts. Have they 24 24 been prioritized in a way that you just testified, asked them to identify it. 25 25 some that would be maybe we could, you know, delay Q. And they did it? Page 218 Page 220 1 KENNETH BUCKFIRE, VOLUME 2 1 KENNETH BUCKFIRE, VOLUME 2 2 2 A. And they did it. those 3 3 A. Well, not specifically the emergency manager has said MR. SOTO: Okay, I have no other questions 4 4 numerous times that restoration of public safety is at this time, and I appreciate your patience with me. 5 the number one priority of the restructuring process, 5 Thank you. 6 6 THE WITNESS: You're welcome. and I assume it will be the number one priority of 7 7 **EXAMINATION** the City going forward. 8 Q. So that's a revitalization effort that is pretty firm 8 BY MR. HACKNEY: 9 9 it's got to --Q. Mr. Buckfire, good afternoon, it's nice to see you 10 10 A. As part of our overall program, I would stipulate that again. 11 it's collecting what the public actors have said here 11 A. Nice to see you. 12 that should be the number one priority, whether it 12 Q. I have to tell you at the outset I have a hell of an 13 13 turns out to be is not my judgment call. ear infection going on in my right ear, and I cannot 14 Q. And if it -- if it doesn't turn out to be does it 14 hear out of it, and so I'm doing the best I can, but 15 impact the viability of the plan post emergence? 15 I'm struggling a little bit to hear. So if I ask you 16 A. Yes, but we have built in strong institutional 16 a question five times in a row, it may be not only 17 protections to make sure the City stays on the track 17 because I didn't hear your answer, because I didn't 18 that we have begun here, namely, the oversight 18 even hear my own question. I actually learned before 19 19 commission that was established by legislation, I this deposition that Mr. Soto can't hear out of his 20 believe, the end of June. 20 right ear just as a matter of course, anyway, but he's 21 Q. And Mr. Hackney is going to address some of those 21 used to it and I'm not so... 22 issues, so I'll move on from that. I took care of 22 MR. SOTO: That's why I always put my 23 that. I -- just one sort of question that was left on 23 special friends to my right. 24 my DIA plate. So when you had approached Christie's 24 BY MR. HACKNEY: 25 and told them you wanted them to do an analysis of 25 Q. So it means you and I can say whatever we want about

Page 223 Page 221 KENNETH BUCKFIRE, VOLUME 2 KENNETH BUCKFIRE, VOLUME 2 2 2 Soto here. A. That was not an analysis done by Miller Buckfire. 3 3 Q. Do you believe that one of the other professionals did I want to go back to some testimony that 4 you gave with Mr. Soto that was on the subject of 5 advice that you rendered about the recoveries of 5 A. I know we looked at this issue many months ago. It's 6 6 an obvious question to address particularly between classes 10, 11, and 12, vis-a-vis other general 7 unsecured creditors like COPs holders; do you remember 7 actives and retirees, and if anybody did it it would 8 8 discussing that with him? have been Ernst & Young. 9 A. I do, but can you be more specific? 9 Q. You're saying if anyone did. I take it from your 10 10 Q. Yeah, let me -- I'm going to ask you what I understood answer that you have never seen such an analysis, 11 you to say so you should listen carefully to whether I 11 12 12 A. No, not on an individual basis, which is what I think get this right. 13 A. Okav. 13 you're getting to. 14 14 Q. Right. So you have never seen on -- an individual Q. I heard you say that -- number one that you provided advice to the EM on what different recoveries could be 15 15 analysis of what individuals have claims in both 16 amongst different classes; is that correct? 16 classes 10 and 12 or 11 and 12, correct? 17 17 A. Correct, I've never seen it. A. Yes. 18 18 O I --Q. Have you ever seen it on a broader basis like 19 (Electronic phone announcement: Has joined 19 approximately 32 percent of class 10 members are also 20 20 in class 12, have you seen that type of analysis? the conference.) 21 BY MR. HACKNEY: 21 22 Q. I also heard you say that in deciding what recoveries 22 Q. Were you aware of this concept of looking at these 23 were appropriate for classes 10 and 11, which are the 23 three types of class in advance of the June 2013 24 24 proposal to creditors? pension classes, that you considered the fact that 25 25 many of the members of those classes were also members A. Yes. Page 222 Page 224 KENNETH BUCKFIRE, VOLUME 2 1 **KENNETH BUCKFIRE, VOLUME 2** 2 of class 12, which is the OPEB class, and that you 2 Q. And you were obviously aware of it -- okay, strike 3 3 considered all three classes together in evaluating that. their total recovery; is that correct? 4 I wanted to ask you, I saw yesterday that 5 5 you said that you have -- you have not authored any 6 Q. And that was advice that you gave to the EM that he 6 publications in the last ten years, you testified to 7 accepted, correct? that fact I think with counsel for the DWSD parties. 8 A. I'm not sure whether he accepted it or not, but it was 8 I read that quickly today; is that correct? 9 9 my financial observation that the people who held the A. To the best of my knowledge that's correct. 10 10 pension claims were often the same people who held the Q. I was a little surprised by that, you're a fairly 11 healthcare claims, so they would value money coming 11 well-known player in the field and I thought you 12 from the City more or less in the same pot. 12 haven't written any op. ed. pieces, Wall Street 13 13 Q. Okay, so your testimony is that as one of the people Journal, New York Times, TMA, any of those things 14 that was playing an advisory role with respect to the 14 where you've written an article for any of those? 15 POA, this was how you looked at the appropriate 15 That's correct. 16 16 recoveries for classes 10, 11, and 12, correct? Q. Well, you got to do more writing then, I think. 17 A. That's one of way of looking at it, yes. 17 A. I try to keep a very low profile. 18 Q. And did you give the EM your advice on that subject? 18 Q. Well, you're not doing a good job of that in this 19 A. I did. 19 case. Now, I wanted to ask you about your testimony 20 Q. Do you -- do you know whether he accepted your advice? 20 in -- as an expert in deposition or at trial in the 21 A. I believe it was one of the factors he took into 21 last four years. Have you given any expert testimony 22 22 account in ultimately approving the plan. in a deposition or at trial in the last four years 23 Q. Did you undertake an effort to determine the amount of 23 other than in the Calpine, GGP, Dow Chemical, and City 24 overlap between classes 10 and 12 on the one hand or 24 of Detroit cases? 25 classes 11 and 12 on the other hand? 25 A. Well, Calpine was 2008, so that's not the last four